

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/972,930	10/10/2001	Yuki Akiyama	TESJ.0040	4840
7590 03/01/2004			EXAMINER	
	H HAZEL & THOM	TAYLOR, APRIL ALICIA		
Suite 1400 3110 Fairview Park Drive			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Falls Chruch, VA 22042			2876	
				_

DATE MAILED: 03/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·		Application No.	Applicant(s)	——— /			
Office Action Summary		09/972,930	AKIYAMA, YUKI				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		April A. Taylor	2876				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence addre	ss			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl' operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or tre to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may y within the statutory minimum of t will apply and will expire SIX (6) M , cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this comm ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.			
Status							
-	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 August 2003</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1-10 and 13-18 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) 11,12,19 and 20 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected t drawing(s) be held in abey ion is required if the drawi	rance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2) Notice 3) Infor	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper N	w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-15 	52)			

Art Unit: 2876

DETAILED ACTION

1. Receipt is acknowledged of the Amendment filed 26 August 2003.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 11, 12, 15, 17, 19, and 20 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Re claims 11, 12, 19, and 20: The limitation in claims 11 and 19 where it says "said information recording element has a function of counting the number that information is read" is unclear to the examiner. The Examiner believes that the limitation should read "said information recording element has a function of counting the number of times the information is read". Appropriate clarification is required.

Re claim 15: Substitute "stack type bar code" with – stack bar code – (see line 2).

Re claim 17: Substitute "claim 15" with - claim 16 - (see line 1).

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Application/Control Number: 09/972,930 Page 3

Art Unit: 2876

4. Claims 1-3, 5-10, 13, 14, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Caraviannis et al. (US 2001/0044324 A1).

Re claims 1, 8, 9, and 10: Carayiannis et al teaches a system for reading display information comprising:

an information display member 20;

at least one mobile communication terminal 100 of a public mobile communication system for reading at least a portion of the display information displayed on the information display member 20;

wherein the information display member 20 comprises an information recording element 94 in which necessary and/or optional information of the information portion is stored and a signal member for notifying an existence of the information recording element 94, and the mobile communication system further comprises means for detecting the signal member, wherein the signal member carries a signal displayed by figures;

the mobile communication system comprises a reader mechanism 128 for reading information recorded in the information recording element 94 and a display mechanism 108 for displaying the read information, and the information recorded in the information recording element including the portion of the display information displayed on the information display member 20; and

means for displaying in an enlarged manner a desired portion of the information displayed on the display mechanism of the mobile communication system. (See figures 1-4; page 2, paragraphs 20-27; page 3, paragraph 37)

Art Unit: 2876

Re claim 2: Carayiannis et al teaches wherein the information recording element 94 is a bar code (see page 2, paragraph 20).

Re claim 3: Carayiannis et al teaches wherein the information recorded in the reader mechanism 128 is transferred to a system having a function of a communication terminal (see page 2, paragraph 27 and page 3, paragraph 29).

Re claim 5: Carayiannis et al teaches wherein the information recorded in the reader mechanism 128 is further recorded in a personal computer (see page 2, paragraph 27 and page 3, paragraph 29).

Re claim 6: Carayiannis et al teaches wherein text information is stored in the information recording element 94 (see page 2, paragraph 20).

Re claim 7: Carayiannis et al teaches wherein the information recorded in the reader mechanism 128 is copied (see page 2, paragraphs 24 and 26-27).

Re claim 13: Carayiannis et al teaches wherein the mobile communication system includes a mobile phone 100 (see page 2, paragraph 21).

Re claim 14: Carayiannis et al teaches wherein the reader mechanism 128 reads information from the information recording element 94 in a non-contact manner (see page 2, paragraph 26).

Re claim 18: Carayiannis et al teaches wherein the mobile communication system further comprises reading-out means for reading the text information into voice (see page 3, paragraph 29).

Application/Control Number: 09/972,930 Page 5

Art Unit: 2876

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 4 and 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carayiannis et al. (US 2001/0044324 A1). The teachings of Carayiannis et al have been discussed above.

Re claim 4: Carayiannis et al teaches a system wherein a USB port, infrared port, serial port, wireless link or other type of network interface can also be incorporated as an output device, allowing the information recorded in the reader mechanism to be transmitted to another intelligent device or computer (see page 3, paragraph 29). Although Carayiannis et al is silent with regard to the system using a net line of a LAN to transfer information it is obvious that Carayiannis et al system can incorporate network interfaces such as LAN, WAN, Internet, etc. Thus it would have been an obvious expedient to use a LAN network interface, as it would have been a matter of a design choice of the manufacturer.

Re claim 15: Carayiannis et al fails to teach or fairly suggest wherein the information recording element includes a stack barcode or data matrix barcode.

However, it would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the notoriously old and well known stack barcode or data matrix barcode to the teachings of Carayiannis et al due to the fact that more

Art Unit: 2876

information can be stored in the barcode. Accordingly, employing a stack barcode or data matrix barcode would have constituted an obvious expedient, well within the ordinary skill in the art.

Re claims 16 and 17: Carayiannis et al teaches that the business card can contain a smart card that stores information about an owner (see page 3, paragraph 32). Although Carayiannis et al is silent with respect to the system having an information recording element including a IC chip having a coil antenna, it is well known in the art for smart cards to have an IC chip including a coil antenna. Furthermore, it would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the notoriously old and well known IC chip including a coil antenna to the teachings of Carayiannis et al due to the fact that a large amount of information can be stored and transmitted faster and more efficiently. Accordingly, employing an IC chip would have constituted an obvious expedient, well within the ordinary skill in the art.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claims 11, 12, and 20 are allowable over the prior art.
- 8. Claim 19 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 9. The best prior art to Carayiannis et al teaches a system for reading display information, however the prior art of record, taken alone or in combination, fail to teach

Art Unit: 2876

or fairly suggest a system for reading text display information including an information recording element having a function of counting the number of times information is read, and wherein the number of counts can be stored in a recording medium.

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4 and 6-10 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

11. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2876

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Iwase et al (US 2002/0165803 A1) teaches an information processing system.

Contact Information

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to April A. Taylor whose telephone number is (571) 272-2403. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 6:30AM - 4:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Lee can be reached on (571) 272-2398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [april.taylor@uspto.gov].

All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Art Unit: 2876

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

February 3, 2004

Page 9